

WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION: NUCLEAR, CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL

A DIFFERENT PROPOSAL

The recent pandemic that we lived through and that left over 6.5 million dead is being overcome thanks to the contribution of many researchers and workers of the medical field; however, it is a fact that there was a massive impact all over the world. With this experience, we must now face what is deadly for the very existence of all humans: the production of weapons of massive death, which, contradictorily, has been created, promoted and elevated to its highest level of risk by the very members of the species.

After the explosion of the first atomic bomb in Hiroshima, Bertrand Russell wrote: “The prospect for the human race is sombre beyond all precedent. Mankind are faced with a clear-cut alternative: either we shall all perish, or we shall have to acquire some slight degree of common sense. A great deal of new political thinking will be necessary if utter disaster is to be averted.”

When Russell says “some slight degree of common sense” he is suggesting that it is time to use the faculty that differentiates us from the rest of the animal species: intelligence. He had great vision in denouncing that we must change political thinking, because the totalitarianism that is growing in all countries is taking us to the greatest possible risk of a nuclear confrontation. Proof of this is that despite Russell’s manifesto, the production of nuclear weapons has been increasing at a skyrocketing rate and we can predict that it will continue to grow.

In 1955, Bertrand Russell, Albert Einstein, along with other scientists, drafted a precautionary manifesto announcing production of the H-bomb, which would be 2,500 times more powerful than the one that destroyed Hiroshima. “Such a bomb, if exploded near the ground or under water, sends radio-active particles into the upper air. They sink gradually and reach the surface of the earth in the form of a deadly dust or rain. It was this dust which infected the Japanese fishermen and their catch of fish. No one knows how widely such lethal radioactive particles might be diffused, but the best authorities are unanimous in saying that a war with H-bombs might quite possibly put an end to the human race. It is feared that if many H-bombs are used there will be universal death, sudden only for a minority, but for the majority a slow torture of disease and disintegration.”

Today we cannot imagine the destructive potency of all the most powerful bombs. With more than 13,000 nuclear weapons in arsenals around the world, and multiple active crises involving a nuclear background, in several countries, the risk of eliminating the human species and all traces of life on the planet will increase. We must also be taken into account the fact that, in the future, technological progress will find more accessible ways to optimize the use of the energy of matter for bellicose purposes. It is foreseeable that there will be an increase in smaller, more powerful and less detectable nuclear weapons.

Additionally, scientific research continues to explore the secrets of nature. The results of this work, and its peaceful or belligerent applications, are impossible to foresee, let alone evaluate at present. If humanity does not find a policy to avoid their production, the risk of eliminating the planet will increase.

The UN Secretary General considers it completely unacceptable for States to possess this type of weaponry. “Humanity is playing with a loaded gun,” stressed António Guterres in Japan, during the ceremony commemorating the 77th anniversary of the atomic bombing of Hiroshima.

ETHICAL NOMENCLATURE

A thief can be punished with one-year imprisonment; a drunk driver who hits a person, three years; a drug trafficker, five; a serial killer, around twenty years. A totalitarian ruler would be punished with one year for each imprisoned opponent, 3 years for torturing them, 5 years for each dead person. If punishments worked like that, famous leaders of history would need several lifetimes to serve their sentences. But the key question is: What would be a sufficient punishment for those responsible for a nuclear disaster? Or for any intention promoting mass death?

For the perpetrator, or perpetrators, who seek to cause untold numbers of human deaths and subject the rest to the torture that disease and unexpected deformities entails –something that not even the most extreme fantasy can begin to outline– there would not be sufficient imaginable punishment. The entirety of humanity will have to agree to defenestrate those who authorize the production of weapons of mass destruction, those who promote them and those who manufacture them. They are our main enemies –more than rapists, drug traffickers or serial killers– because their victims are us all, humanity as a whole.

AFFECT THE PEOPLE

All of humanity has been permanently deceived regarding the destruction of nuclear weapons. The treaties that have been signed have served to initiate and increase the nuclear arsenal of 9 states, which do not want to sign the latest agreement proposed by the UN in 2017 and on which the rest of the countries voted in favor. Despite the fact that the treaty is binding, it would seem that this organization responds only to the Powers that are producing the worst risk faced by the species, because it can do nothing. But all the peoples of the world can rightfully object to the possibility of such an affectation.

The initiatives and agreements to reduce nuclear armament have failed, because the governments of the countries producing nuclear armament threaten, carry out actions and produce pressures to achieve their great ideal, which is to obtain great power and to maintain it. When we confirm the lack of effectiveness of organizations, initiatives and projects, we deduce that they are subject to a series of values that have provoked and continue to provoke the maintenance of nuclear armament.

The governments of the most powerful countries will continue to invest in the production of weapons of mass death, because they force their people to believe that they are in conflict with other powers, which only serves to feed their own power. Never has one of these states asked its people if they agree to the testing and production of nuclear weapons. When we understand that, in all countries of the world, democracy is tending towards authoritarianism, we perceive the threat of nuclear confrontation to be increasing. That is why we must think of shaping the will power of all humanity in order to remove this risk from their lives, and set aside the incumbent government.

When we think of nuclear confrontation between Powers, we try to explain to ourselves the very objectives of such a confrontation. If one Power wins over the other because it achieved a greater amount and more powerful explosions, what would be its achievement? A future of biological degeneration by radiation for its own people, the conquest of destroyed territories with no possibility of recovery, the destruction of all assets regarding art, sport, scientific progress built up over centuries? How is it possible that the peoples of these powerful countries believe they are safer by stockpiling nuclear weapons?

It would be quite logical for the Powers to have the rationale and sufficient courage to take positive action and destroy its own weapons, announcing they will never respond to a nuclear attack, thus saving the human species. But no, nuclear-armed countries have not ceased to increase the power of their destructive production and achieve ever increasing efficiency in planning their attacks. Furthermore, as soon as an attack such as the invasion of Ukraine takes place, the investment and production of such weapons increases in countries that do not want to sign the UN treaty.

Since 1938, when nuclear fission was first achieved, there have been many accidents and incidents involving nuclear weapons, producing loss of human lives, health effects due to radioactive contamination and other important damages. Although it is one of the best kept secrets by the nuclear Powers, many of them have been officially registered, because they could not be hidden. In the arms race there is a ceaseless accumulation of these types of accidents due to errors in the manufacture, shipping and even the loss of atomic bombs.

Just as dangerous are the false warnings of nuclear attacks due to simple mistakes that could have started a atrociously destructive war. Not only are we exposed to a confrontation with nuclear weapons, but also to the danger of storing them without properly monitoring them as well as utilizing them in haste due to false alarms.

THE UNAVOIDABLE OBLIGATION

Recently there have been experiences with nuclear threats; states led by people who demand even more power than they already benefit from, who have in their hands the decision to use such weapons, people who need therapy. These maniacs no longer care about the rest of humanity, affected by radiation, which cause inconceivable levels of pain, as well as an unimaginable number of deaths. After having confirmed that any person, ambitious from heroism, can become the leader of a nuclear Power, threatening to start such a devastating conflict, we should all become aware and promote necessary consensus to eliminate this prospect, once and for all.

When we see sectors confronted by the approval of abortion, when there are demonstrations demanding economic improvements, when there are groups defending human rights and hundreds of thousands of organizations to defend the environment, we realize that they are completely insignificant in the face of the risk of the disappearance of the human race.

It is logical to assume that our main goal will be to ensure that there be no risk of self-elimination in the future. This obligation belongs to all humans and all their organizations; to Indigenous, Black, Asian, and White ethnicities; to everyone: to men and women of all orientations and identities, of all nationalities and ideological tendencies. To peoples from every academic, political, religious, artistic and sports organization. To non-governmental organizations, foundations and, most importantly, to the defenders of the environment, that will give greater importance to the defense against the disappearance of life on the planet.

PROPOSED OBJECTIVES

- Prevent nuclear weapon proliferation.
- Eliminate all weapons of mass destruction in existence from the face of the earth: whether they be nuclear, chemical or biological.
- To create a basis that ensures this risk will not be repeated in the future.

PREVENTION

- Ensure the commitment of all peoples to not further develop technologies linked to weapons of mass destruction.
- To create, in every country, institutions to promote funds that are independent of all States, to control the production of such weapons, analyze events and the people who produce them.
- To achieve that all the universities of the world demand from their researchers and professionals a type of Hippocratic oath, in which a commitment is made to never promote or produce technology that puts mankind at risk, and additionally, to denouncing any attempt of its manufacture. The oath will not affect scientific advancement, but only applications that go against the human species. Relationships among students from different universities will be promoted in order to seek agreements.
- Any State that refuses to destroy these weapons, all attempts to conserve them, produce them or threaten to attack, will be assigned the status of enemy of the entire human race and of life on earth, so that everyone devotes time and works to convince their populations of the necessity to reject these intentions.
- Peoples shall promote the rupture of all agreements with States that are declared enemies of humanity, or that maintain such weapons within territories.
- All inhabitants of a state declared an enemy of humanity who do not agree with their government or leaders will receive support from the rest of the world.
- We will promote the understanding that culpable leaders be sent to research centers and thus discover the processes and individual inclinations that led them to make such a threat. In this way, we will prevent such inclinations be repeated in the future.

STRATEGIES

- To distribute data to raise awareness and spread the subject with the help of social networks, media and other means to become one humanity with no borders and with no state intervention. To resort to all technological advances to carry out the best and greatest circulation, that will be constant and permanent.
- To ask all the people and organizations of the world for their opinion regarding the disarmament objectives. 100% of organizations and 80% of the world's population have the possibility to support via the Internet. We will also create the technical and organizational conditions to reach as many sectors, that do not have such access, as possible.
- To solicit input and strategies on this proposal from all candidates for high office and representation, in all countries and organizations around the world, prior to their election.
- To encourage participants in all meetings on agreements between countries and organizations around the world to express their views on the subject.
- To identify the leaders, professionals, investors and promoters in favor of the manufacture of weapons of mass destruction, in order to discover their ethical bases.
- The peoples of the nuclear Powers will receive permanent support from the rest of the world to force their governments to comply with the elimination of weapons of mass destruction, promoting the awareness that there are two worlds, one that wants to destroy life on the planet and the other that wishes to preserve it.
- The peoples of the countries that want to eliminate the threat will create new commercial, diplomatic, social, sport, and other, organizations. In addition to this, fellow citizens will receive an annual budget to study, research, promote and propose initiatives to integrate the idea of human preservation.
- Expand data to differentiate the products and services that pay taxes that are directed to the production of weapons of mass destruction.
- To create all the possible conditions to force compliance with the will and preference of the entire species.

RENE GONZALO PAZ

